Complementarity in Rubber-Salacca Intercropping System Under Integrated Fertilization Mixed with Organic Soil Amendments
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ABSTRACT

The replanting practice of rubber monocropping in Southern Thailand has depleted soil fertility. Most rubber planted areas in the region were under intensive chemical fertilization resulting in less soil organic matters and root proliferation. With the instability of rubber prices, some rubber farmers converted from monocropping into intercropping. Integrated fertilization in which mixed organic-inorganic fertilizers are combined with organic soil amendments could be considered in a rubber-based intercropping system to increase land productivity with cost-saving fertilization by rehabilitating soil properties. A study was conducted at a rubber-salacca intercropping farm comprised of 14-year-old mature rubber trees associated with eight-year-old salacca palms to identify the consequences of the integrated fertilization combined with two organic soil amendments: humic acid (HSA); chitosan (CSA). Changes in soil organic matter (SOM), leaf area index (LAI), fine root traits, tree physiological status, and crop productions under the two integrated fertilization were compared against the controlled application of conventional chemical fertilizer. The CSA application increased the SOM in the topsoil layer by 80%. In the 21-40 cm soil depth, the rubber roots treated with HSA and the salacca palm roots treated with CSA showed greater fine root length density (FRLD). Under CSA, the physiological status of the rubber trees showed less stress. The treatments of HSA and CSA showed 145% and 72%, respectively, higher in total production of salacca palm than that of the...
chemical fertilization. Improvements in the soil fertility, the root’s function, the crops’ yields, and the tree physiological status were consequences as complementarity in the system under the integrated fertilizations.
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INTRODUCTION

Most natural rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) growing areas in Southern Thailand are currently in the second or third replanting cycle of rubber monocropping. This replanting practice of the same perennial monocrop has depleted soil fertility substantially (Umami et al., 2019; Vrignon-Brenas et al., 2019). Besides, about 67% of the region’s rubber growing area was under intensive application of chemical fertilizer (National Statistical Office, 2013) to meet targeted immature period and economic yield. Its long-term application accumulated adverse effects on soil structure, such as soil acidification, soil water pollution, and soil organic matter shortage — consequently, root functions like less root proliferation and nutrient-uptake activities.

In the last two decades, due to the instability of rubber prices, some rubber farmers in the area started converting into intercropping to widen the on-farm income sources and increase land productivity (Hougni et al., 2018; Romyen et al., 2018). In the area, most rubber-based intercropping farms were transformed from mature monocropping rubber farms and mostly intercropped with perennial cash crops like bamboo, coffee, cacao, ginger, and salacca anticipation long-term economic benefits (Jongrungrot et al., 2014). However, some combinations of rubber-based intercropping experienced adverse effects on the growth and yield of the crops due to some competitions in root interactions and nutrient uptakes (Langenberger et al., 2017). Thus, in these types of permanent rubber-based intercropping, complementarity interactions in the system are the main consideration in which the crops and other components are facilitative complements each other to achieve ecological benefits together with healthy physiological status of the crops and vegetative growth, ensuring sustainable crop yields for long-term economic benefits (Bybee-Finley & Matthew, 2018).

Since rubber tree transforms sucrose into natural rubber, cis polyisoprene, as a product of the tree’s defense mechanism in response to human interventions (latex harvesting) and environmental conditions, the healthy physiological status of the tree plays a crucial role in natural rubber production (Adou et al., 2017; Obouayeba et al., 2011). Biochemical compositions, mainly sucrose (Suc), inorganic phosphorus (Pi), and reduced thiols (R-SH) contents, are analyzed to evaluate the physiological status and yield potential of rubber trees (Christophe et al., 2018). As the sucrose are transformed into rubber molecules in the laticiferous system, high Suc content in the rubber latex indicates less sucrose utilization in the defense mechanism. Overexploitation in latex harvesting significantly reduces
the Suc content in the latex, reflecting the high stress of the physiological status of the tree (Doungmusik & Sdoodee, 2012). The Pi represents the main constituent of the energy metabolism in the laticiferous system and exhibits the level of sucrose utilization and intensity of biosynthetic activity. Atsin et al. (2016) reported that Pi content was positively associated with the active metabolism; thus, a higher Pi indicated a significant yield potential under healthy rubber trees. The reduced thiols are important antioxidants to protect the laticiferous cells in the defense mechanism and reduce oxidative stresses mainly caused by latex harvesting (Purwaningrum et al., 2019). Low R-SH content in the latex indicates high physiological stress of the laticiferous system.

According to the principle of integrated nutrient management, harmonious utilization of farm nutrient sources such as organic manure and farm wastes, mixed with inorganic fertilizers could be considered an integrated fertilizer (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [FAO], 2016) in the rubber-based intercropping system to increase land productivity with cost-saving fertilization through improvement or rehabilitation of soil properties.

One of the integrated usages of available farm wastes, humic acid extracted from vermicompost of biodegradable farm wastes like animal manures, green manures, and crop residues, has been widely applied as an organic soil amendment (Selladurai & Purakayastha, 2016). It enhances microbial activities and a population that transform insoluble mineral nutrients into available nutrient form for plant in the soil, thus higher soil nutrient content (Li et al., 2019). In humic acid-treated soil, pH buffering capacity, organic matter, and cation exchange capacity were improved with more significant soil physical properties resulting in enhanced root performances like fine root proliferation and nutrient uptake (Buyukkeskin et al., 2015; Cahyo et al., 2014). It was reported that the growth rates of nursery and immature rubber plants were enhanced by reducing chemical fertilizer usages and supplementing a humic acid application (Dharmakeerthi et al., 2013). Likewise, chitin and chitosan processed from chitin-containing wastes from the fishery industry, available in the area, have been widely applied as a natural plant elicitor. Chitosan-treated plants improved pathogen resistance because of their antimicrobial properties and defense mechanism (Sharp, 2013). With improved plant metabolism, vegetative growth of plant and crop yield were significant under chitosan application in combination with chemical fertilizer (Y.C. Chen et al., 2016).

Although the sources for these organic soil amendments are available in the area, their usages have not been found yet in the rubber farms and rubber-based intercropping. Furthermore, studies related to the integrated fertilizations in rubber-based intercropping systems are also limited in the scientific literature. Thus, an experiment was conducted at a mature rubber-intercrop farm to investigate
the consequences of the agroecosystem components’ interactions under integrated fertilizer applications combined with different organic soil amendments compared to conventional chemical fertilization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A mature rubber farm intercropped with salacca palm (*Salacca zalacca*) situated at 6°59'46.9"N, 100°34'58.6"E in Na Mom district, Songkhla province, Southern Thailand, was selected for the experimental study. The area receives an annual rainfall of about 2,000 mm distributed from June to December. In general, monthly rainfall precipitates less than 200 mm from June to September, around 300 mm in October and November, and peaks in December with about 500 mm.

The farm was started as a monocrop rubber replanting with RRIM 600 cultivar planted in a spacing of 6 m x 3 m on flat land in 2002. The rubber trees have been harvested, applying a tapping system of one-third spiral of tapping cut length and two-day tapping in three days since 2008. The heights of the rubber tree were around 18 m, and the stem girths were average at 79 cm at the height of 170 cm from the ground. The associated plant, salacca palm, was intercropped in 2008 between the rubber rows with the same spacing as the rubber planting. As a result, the palm’s growths were uniform, with the average height and width of their canopies of 3.6 m and 4.5 m, respectively.

The experiment was designed in a randomized complete block design comprised of three fertilization treatments with three replications. Each replicated plot covered one row of ten rubber trees and adjacent two rows of the salacca palms. The treatments were formulated to compare the applications of two different organic soil amendments combined with mixed organic-inorganic fertilizer against the controlled application of conventional chemical fertilizer (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Chemical fertilizer</th>
<th>Organic fertilizer</th>
<th>Organic soil amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types</td>
<td>Application rate</td>
<td>Types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Compound fertiliser (30-5-18)</td>
<td>1 kg tree⁻¹ y⁻¹ (3 times)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Compound fertiliser (30-5-18)</td>
<td>0.5 kg tree⁻¹ y⁻¹ (3 times)</td>
<td>Composted cow manure 10 kg (3 times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Compound fertiliser (30-5-18)</td>
<td>0.5 kg tree⁻¹ y⁻¹ (3 times)</td>
<td>Composted cow manure 10 kg (3 times)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the control treatment (T1), chemical compound fertilizer 30-5-18 nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium (N-P-K) was broadcasted at a rate of one kilogram per rubber tree per year between the rows of the rubber trees and the salacca palms in March, July, and November 2016. In the other treatments (T2 and T3), the chemical fertilizer mixed at a rate of 0.5 kg per rubber tree with 10 kilograms of composted cow manure was applied from April. Then, humic-acid soil amendment (HSA) prepared by mixing 100 mL of vermicompost-derived humic acid (pH 6.5, 5% humic acid, 50% organic matter, 5% total nitrogen, 2.5% total potassium, 0.06% total phosphorus, 0.25% calcium) with 20 L of water and sprayed on the soil between the rubber trees and the palms in T2 from May (Ruangkhanab & Lim, 2005). Then, with the same application rate as the HSA treatment, 100 mL of the chitosan (pH 5.5~6, 6.5% organic carbon, 0.05% nitrogen, 0.01% phosphorus oxide, 0.01% potassium) mixed with 20 L of water was applied as the chitosan soil amendment (CSA) in T3 from May. All these fertilizations were applied three times with a third-monthly interval during the study period.

Soil organic matters (SOM) from soil depths of 0–20 cm and 21–40 cm of each plot were determined using Walkley-Black’s titration method (FAO, 2020) in February 2016 and February 2017 to compare the SOM contents before and after treatments.

Changes in leaf area index (LAI) at the farm were monitored monthly by the hemispherical photography method from June to December 2016. The hemispherical photos were taken vertically upward from 1.2 m above the ground at three different points in the inter-row between the rubber trees and the salacca palms at every treatment plot by using Nikon Coolpix 8400 camera (Nikon, Japan) with a fish-eye lens (Bianchi et al., 2017). The Gap Light Analyzer (GLA) software version 2.0 was used to analyze the fish-eye captured images.

Changes in fine root traits, notably fine root diameter (FRD) and fine root length density (FRLD) of both crops, were monitored in two layers of soil depths (0–20 cm and 21–40 cm) by using the Prince of Songkla University (PSU) minirhizotron root scanner through 10 cm in diameter with 100 cm long of two acrylic access tubes per treatment plot installed with 45° angle of slope in the soil (Saelim et al., 2019; Vamerali et al. 2011) between the rubber tree and the palm. Two months after installing the acrylic tubes, the root images were scanned monthly from June to December 2016. The scanned images were analyzed using the Rootfly software (version 2.0.2).

Latex samples were collected monthly from each plot to analyze the latex production expressed in dry rubber weight per tapping per tree (g tap⁻¹ tree⁻¹). The collected samples were coagulated using 1% formic acid and then dried at 70 °C for 16 h to calculate the dry weight of rubber content in the latex as recommended by ISO 126:2005. Productions of the salacca palms in yield per cluster and total yield per palm were recorded collectively at the end of the study period from randomly selected seven palms from each plot.
The biochemical parameters of latex, namely sucrose (Suc) content, inorganic phosphorus (Pi) content, and reduced thiols (R-SH) content, were measured monthly from latex samples taken from selected rubber trees of each treatment plot by following the latex micro-diagnosis method of the French Agricultural Research Centre for International Development (CIRAD). (Chantuma et al., 2011).

Data collected were analyzed with the R software (version 3.6.2) using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). In addition, Duncan’s multiple range tests were performed at $p \leq 0.05$ to compare the data pairs, and Pearson’s linear correlation ($r$) at $p \leq 0.05$ was applied in correlation analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Comparisons of SOM**

The higher content of SOM was found in the topsoil layer (0–20 cm depth). In comparison, the deeper soil layers had relatively lower organic matter content under all treatments after the experiment (Figure 1). Although all treatments increased the SOM in all layers of soil depth, the top layers under T1 and T3 showed remarkably higher soil organic matter contents. T3 increased the SOM in the topsoil layer by 80%, followed by T1, with an increase of 38% after the experiment.

![Figure 1. Comparison of soil organic matter (SOM) among the treatments before and after the experiment](image)

**LAI of the Farm**

Although there were no significant differences in the LAIs among the treatments during the study, the changes followed a similar trend (Figure 2). The LAIs of the

farm started increasing in July with just over 1.10 and reached their maximum values ranging between 1.50 and 1.71 in September. Then they decreased to their lowest values between 1.00 and 1.20 in October and
November, respectively. However, the LAI values of the farm increased back in the range of 1.29 and 1.39 in December.

**Fine Root Traits of the Rubber Tree**
FRDs of the rubber trees under T1 were found as the largest over those of the other treatments from June to September in both soil layers (0–20 cm and 21–40 cm) (Figure 3). In the soil depth of 21–40 cm, the average size of the FRD under T1 was higher than that of T2 and T3 by 27% and 28%, respectively (Figure 3B).

In terms of changes in FRLD (Figure 3C), all treatments resulted in a stable trend ranging between 0.34 and 0.70 cm cm$^{-2}$ in the topsoil layer during the study period. In the soil depth of 21–40 cm (Figure 3D), the rubber trees under T2 were observed with the highest FRLD at over 1.44 cm cm$^{-2}$ between July and October. After October, however, it decreased slightly with the densities of 1.46 and 1.09 cm cm$^{-2}$ in November and December, respectively.

**Fine Root Traits of the Salacca Palm**
The fine roots of the salacca palm in the soil depth of 0-20 cm (Figure 4A) under T1 showed the largest diameter sizes ranged between 0.82 to 1.23 cm, while the other treatments resulted in smaller sizes of the FRDs ranging between 0.67 and 0.95 cm. In the soil depth of 21–40 cm, the sizes of FRD under T1 were also larger than those under other treatments in July, August, September, and October (Figure 4B).

Monthly changes of the FRLD of the salacca palm (Figure 4C) in the soil depth of 0-20 cm were stable between 0.20 and 0.38 cm cm$^{-2}$ and did not show a significant difference during the study period. However, in 21–40 cm soil depth, T3 resulted in the highest FRLD in July, October, November, and December with 0.60, 0.64, 0.46, and 0.40 cm, respectively (Figure 4D).
Figure 3. Monthly changes in fine root traits of the rubber tree: fine root diameter (FRD) at the soil depths of (A) 0-20 cm and (B) 21-40 cm; fine root length density (FRLD) at the soil depth of (C) 0-20 cm and (D) 21-40 cm (from June to December 2016).

Figure 4. Monthly changes in fine root traits of the salacca plam: fine root diameter (FRD) at the soil depths of (A) 0-20 cm and (B) 21-40 cm; fine root length density (FRLD) at the soil depth of (C) 0-20 cm and (D) 21-40 cm (from June to December 2016).
Latex Production

Although there were no significant differences among the latex productions under the different treatments, the latex productions varied with different seasons (Figure 5). At the beginning of the rainy season, the productions under all treatments dropped their yields from about \(60 \text{ g tap}^{-1} \text{ tree}^{-1}\) in June to less than \(40 \text{ g tap}^{-1} \text{ tree}^{-1}\) in July. Then, the production increased to the highest level between \(73\) and \(80 \text{ g tap}^{-1} \text{ tree}^{-1}\) in September. However, all treatments showed less production with around \(30 \text{ g tap}^{-1} \text{ tree}^{-1}\) in November. Finally, in December, the productions under T1, T2, and T3 surged back, respectively, with \(80\), \(65\), and \(50 \text{ g tap}^{-1} \text{ tree}^{-1}\). The result of Pearson’s linear correlation \((r = +0.6024)\) at \(p \leq 0.05\) confirmed a positive correlation between the monthly changes of the LAIs and the latex production under all treatments (Figure 6).

*Figure 5. Monthly changes in average daily production of latex (g tap\(^{-1}\) tree\(^{-1}\)) under the treatments (from June to December 2016)*

*Figure 6. Relationship between the changes of LAI and latex productions*
Latex Biochemical Composition

Suc contents of all treatments decreased gradually between July and October, except that of T2 showed a peak at 13.66 mM in August (Figure 7 A). The Suc contents of T1 and T2 reached their minimum levels of 1.79 and 2.43 mM, respectively, in November. However, T3 showed an upward trend in November after its lowest level of 4.65 mM in October. In December, the Suc content under T3 reached 9.77 mM as the highest level in that month, followed by T2 and T1 with 6.76 and 3.53 mM, respectively.

Pi content under T2 decreased from 21.33 mM in June to 10.52 mM in July (Figure 7 B). The contents under T1 and T3, however, were stable between 10.54 and 12.61 mM from June to September. Between September and November, the Pi contents of all treatments increased, and that of T3 was the highest with 30.59 mM followed by that of T2 and T1, respectively, in November. Then, the Pi contents under all treatments decreased again in December.

R-SH levels of the treatments were different in June as that of T3 was at 0.43 mM as the highest, followed by T1 and T2 with 0.30 mM and 0.15 mM, respectively (Figure 7 C). After July, however, all treatments increased slightly until November, and the R-SH level under T3 was the highest in November. Then in December, the R-SH level of all treatments declined under 0.30 mM.

Figure 7. Monthly changes in biochemical composition (A) sucrose – Suc content; (B) inorganic phosphorus – Pi content; (C) reduced thiols – R-SH content of latex under the treatments (from June to December 2016)
Salacca Palm Production

The salacca productions were significantly different among the treatments in yield per cluster, and total yield per palm (Table 2) as T2 delivered the highest weight with 1.60 kg cluster$^{-1}$ followed by T3 with 1.33 kg cluster$^{-1}$ while that of T1 was the lowest at 0.77 kg cluster$^{-1}$. Likewise, the total yields (kg palm$^{-1}$) of T2 and T3 were 145% and 72%, respectively, higher than T1.

Table 2

Production of the salacca palms among the treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Yields of the salacca palm</th>
<th>kg cluster$^{-1}$</th>
<th>kg palm$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.05$^{c}$</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.89$^{c}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.09$^{a}$</td>
<td>6.13 ± 1.10$^{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.21$^{ab}$</td>
<td>4.38 ± 1.50$^{b}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Different lower-case letters in the same column are significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ by Duncan’s multiple range test.

DISCUSSION

Soil Fertility Improvement

The study observed that the plot amended with CSA had a maximum level of SOM content in the topsoil layer. The result was likely due to the enzymatic soil microbial activities improved by CSA, enhancing the decomposition process of organic materials in the topsoil layer (Sawaguchi et al., 2015). Besides, the soil microbial population increased and decomposed themselves, resulting in a higher level of organic matter in the soil. The higher content of SOM is an indicator of healthy soil with efficient infiltration and water-holding capacity, thus higher nutrient availability (C. Chen et al., 2017; Nannipieri et al., 2017).

Development of the Fine Root Traits

It was noticed that the FRD of both crops under T1 showed a larger size in both soil layers in general. It signaled high limitation in the movements of water and nutrients from the soil to the roots resulting in low vegetative growth and productivity (Comas et al., 2013). Conversely, roots with smaller diameters have greater hydraulic conductivity and tolerate drought conditions (Henry et al., 2012). The small diameters of the fine roots under T2 and T3 reflected the better performance of the root functions because of the higher availability of nutrients and water in the soil under the organic soil amendment application (du Jardin, 2015).

In all treatments, the FRLD of rubber trees in the soil depth of 21–40 cm showed upward trends once the rainy season began but in the soil depth of 0-20 cm. It indicated that the development of rubber fine roots in the soil depth of 21–40 cm was more
responsive to the rainfall than the topsoil layer. A study conducted in the same province by Saelim et al. (2019) also found that the fine roots of the 16-year-old rubber, particularly in the soil depth 20–30 cm developed at a higher rate in the rainy season. The result was consistent with Maeght et al.’s (2015) finding in north-eastern Thailand that the fine rubber roots within the soil depth of 2 m exhibited higher root emergences during the rainy season. Among the treatments, the rubber trees treated with the HSA showed higher FRLD in 21–40 cm soil depth from July to October. Wasson et al. (2012) remarked that a root system with greater FRLD in deeper soil could uptake water and nutrients at high efficiency. Cahyo et al. (2014) reported that root growth and performance were more obvious than other vegetative parts under the HSA. It could serve as auxin and promote cell enlargement by stimulating the cell wall loosening leading to greater vegetative growth (Jindo et al., 2012). However, it was noticed that the FRLDs of the salacca palm were higher under the CSA in the soil depth of 21–40 cm. CSA could enhance cation properties and water holding capacity in the soil, thereby more significant development of fine roots resulting in better nutrient uptakes and improved crop yield (Sharp, 2013).

The Vegetative Growth and Production of the Crops

The study confirmed a positive relationship between the LAIs and latex production under all treatments. At the beginning of the rainy season, in July and August, latex harvest (tapping) activities could not be carried out regularly due to the disturbance of uneven raining patterns resulted in yield drops in all treatments. The latex productions under all treatments were at maximum levels in September, while leaves in the rubber canopy reached the ultimate growth stage. Since the planted cultivar, RRIM 600 clone, is susceptible to phytophthora leaf fall disease (Krishnan et al., 2019), which occurs typically during the rainy season, the rubber trees in the farm were attacked by the disease, thus fewer values of LAI in November. In the meantime, it was observed that the latex yields under all treatments dropped from their maximum yields. Leaf area is a functional part of a tree’s photosynthesis and determines photosynthetic efficiency, reflecting sucrose synthesis (Weraduwage et al., 2015). Since natural rubber is a photosynthesis product of H. brasiliensis through sucrose synthesis in non-photosynthesis laticiferous tissue, the leaf area of the rubber tree influences latex yield and dry mass production of rubber (Zhu et al., 2018).

Regarding salacca production, the treatments of the integrated fertilizations delivered significantly higher yields compared to that of the chemical fertilization. It was contributed by the beneficial effects of the integrated fertilization that organic fertilizer and organic soil amendments could promote inorganic fertilization effectiveness, thereby more extended availability of nutrients in the soil (Wu et al., 2020). In addition, it could improve the soil’s physical
properties such as cation exchange capacity and water holding capacity, enhancing root proliferation and the root system’s nutrient uptake functions, resulting in higher crop yield (Sharp, 2013).

In addition, it was noticed that yields per cluster in all treatments were apparently higher than the average yield of around 0.6 kg per cluster of conventional salacca-fruit intercropping (Sumantra & Martiningsih, 2018). In rubber-based intercropping, the canopy of mature rubber trees reduces extreme temperature and intense irradiance, improving the adaptability of understory plants especially shade-required species like salacca palm (Montagnini, 2011; Rappaport & Montagnini, 2014). Along with the favorable weather conditions, the co-existence of the different canopy architectures, like the combination of rubber trees and salacca palms, enhancing light interception and distribution in the farm contributes to a greater photosynthetic rate resulting in yield improvement of the crops (Sumantra et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2019; Xianhai et al., 2012).

**Less Physiological Stress of the Rubber Tree**

It was observed that all treatments showed higher Suc content, lower Pi content, and lower yields at the beginning of the rainy season after the dry season. It reflected low metabolic utilization or insufficient conversion of sucrose into cis-isoprene rubber molecules in the latex resulting in higher Suc content remaining and fewer rubber particles in the latex (Purwaningrum et al., 2015). Then, in September and October, the yields of all treatments were at a high level with an elevation of the Pi contents. It indicated the high metabolism of the laticiferous contributed by the regular tapping activity (Atsin et al., 2016). However, in November, the Suc contents under T1 and T2 declined to the lowest level, and their productions also plunged to less than 30 g tap\(^{-1}\) tree\(^{-1}\) at that month, reflecting that the rubber trees were exhausted with the shortage of sucrose supply because of the effects of the high-frequency latex harvest practice (overexploitation) and the occurrences of the abnormal leaf fall disease. A study by Obouayeba et al. (2011) indicated that low sucrose content less than around 3-4 mM associated with yield drops reflected the initial symptom of the tree stress with physiological disorders in the laticiferous system leading to tapping panel dryness. The intensity of physiological stress could vary between rubber clones due to their different sugar loading capacities (Gohet et al., 2015). In addition, the abnormal leaf fall disease destructed the photosynthesis functions, thereby reducing the Suc’s sufficient supply, resulting in the yield drop. However, the Suc content, the Pi content, and the R-SH content under T3 was at a high level, and the yield in T3 remained over 30 g tap\(^{-1}\) tree\(^{-1}\) and was not as low as that of the others. These physiological responses reflected less physiological stress of the laticiferous system (Sainoi et al., 2017) and the lesser effect of the phytophthora attack under T3 compared to those of the other treatments. It was likely to be the CSA’s antimicrobial
effect since its application restrained and slowed down the growth of the pathogen by enhancing the response of the plant’s immune system (Sunpapao & Pornsuriya, 2014).

CONCLUSION

The study observed that both HSA and CSA treatments improved the fine root trait developments of the crops, particularly in the soil depths of 21–40 cm. The fine rubber roots were responsive under the HSA, while the fine root growths of the salacca showed more significance under the CSA. It was found that a positive correlation between the average yields of rubber and the LAI in the farm. The study highlighted that the advantages of CSA on rubber trees that its application improved the tree physiological status. Thus, the latex biochemical composition levels and the daily yield were maintained under the CSA application during the intensive latex harvest practices and the phytophthora leaf disease attack. A significant increase in soil organic matter under the CSA treatment was also advantageous.

The higher yields per cluster of salacca trees in all treatments compared to other conventional salacca farms indicated the beneficial effect of the rubber-salacca combination. In addition, the significantly higher yields of salacca under the HSA and CSA further approved the effect of the integrated fertilizations.

The study highlighted the complementarity effect resulting from harmonious interactions between the integrated fertilization and agroecosystem components of the rubber-salacca intercropping. Therefore, it is suggested that the mixed organic-inorganic fertilization with organic soil amendments could be utilized in rubber-based intercropping as effectively integrated fertilization to reduce the usage of chemical fertilizer without affecting the crop yields.
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